# Facility Planning: Bridges -- No. 509132

Category Agency

Planning Area

Relocation Impact

Transportation

Public Works & Transportation

Countywide

None.

Date Last Modified Required Adequate Public Facility May 11, 2006 NO

				EXPENDIT	URE SCH	EDULE (\$0	00)				
Cost Element	Total	Thru FY05	Est. FY06	Total 6 Years	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design and Supervision								1.			
and Supervision	8,498	5,780	418	2,300	723	449	251	377	250	250	0
Land	108	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site Improvements											
and Utilities	61	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Construction	27	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	21	14	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	8,715	5,988	427	2,300	723	449	251	377	250	250	,
				ELINIDIN	CSCHEDI	II E (\$000)					

PAYGO 340 340 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 G.O. Bonds 7.549 4,822 427 2,300 723 449 251 250 250 0 Federal Aid 811 811 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Land Sale 15 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (\$000)

#### DESCRIPTION

This ongoing project provides studies for bridge projects under consideration for inclusion in the CIP. Facility Planning serves as a transition stage for a project between identification of need and its inclusion as a stand-alone project in the CIP. Prior to the establishment of a stand-alone project, DPWT will complete a design which outlines the general and specific features required on the project. Selected projects range in type, but typically consist of upgrading deficient bridges so that they can safely carry all legal loads which must be accommodated while providing a minimum of two travel lanes. Facility Planning is a decision-making process to design bridges which are already identified as deficient. For a full description of the Facility Planning process, see the CIP Planning Section. Candidate projects currently included are listed in the "Other" section below.

#### Service Area

Countywide

## JUSTIFICATION

There is continuing need for the development of accurate cost estimates and an exploration of alternatives for proposed projects. Facility planning costs for all projects which ultimately become stand-alone PDFs are included here. These costs will not be reflected in the resulting individual project. Future individual CIP projects which result from facility planning will each benefit from reduced planning and design costs.

#### Plans and Studies

Biennial inspections performed since 1987 have consistently shown that the bridges currently included in the project for design studies are in need of major rehabilitation or replacement. Pedestrian safety is considered during design of individual bridge projects.

Increase due to addition of five candidate bridges to the program and the addition of FY11-12 to this ongoing project.

# STATUS

Ongoing

### OTHER

Candidates for this program are identified through the County Biennial Bridge Inspection Program as being deficient, load restricted, or geometrically substandard. The Planning, Design, and Supervision costs for all bridge designs include all costs up to contract preparation. At that point, future costs and Federal aid will be included in stand-alone PDFs. \*Expenditures will continue indefinitely. Candidate Projects:

Piney Meetinghouse Road Bridge #M-0021 Whites Ferry Bridge #M-0187 Whites Ferry Bridge #M-0189 Cedar Lane Bridge #M-0074 Talbot Avenue Bridge #M-0085 (Starting in FY08)

APPROPRIATION AND										
4										
FY91	(\$000)									
	124									
FY07	8,715									
	7,483									
	8,715									
	654									
FY08	449									
FY06	0									
	0									
	6,484									
	6,421									
	63									
	0									
FY05	0									
	0									
	FY91 FY07 FY07 FY08									

#### COORDINATION

Maryland-Department of the Environment Maryland-Department of Natural Resources Maryland-National Capital Park and Plannning Commission

Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Maryland State Highway Administration

Federal Highway Administration

**Utility Companies** Maryland Historic Trust

**CSX Transportation** 

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority

Rural/Rustic Roads Legislation

